

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * * * *

CHRISTOPHER A. JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

HOWARD SKOLNIK, *et al.*,

Defendants.

3:10-cv-00162-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Before this Court is Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Order #150 Pursuant to FRCP 72(a) (#152¹).

Also before the Court are Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (#151) in which to object to the Magistrate Judge's order and Defendants' Request for Submission of Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (CD #151), and Defendant's Notice of Non-Opposition Thereto, (CD #153) (#155).

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of the Plaintiff, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court determines that the Magistrate Judge's Order (#150) entered on August 10, 2012 should be sustained.

¹Refers to court's docket number.

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's Objections to Magistrate's Order #150 Pursuant
2 to FRCP 72(a) (#152) is OVERRULED and the Magistrate Judge's Order (#150) is SUSTAINED.

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time (#151) in which
4 to object to the Magistrate Judge's order is GRANTED *nunc pro tunc*.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Request for Submission of Plaintiff's Motion for
6 Enlargement of Time (CD #151), and Defendant's Notice of Non-Opposition Thereto, (CD #153)
7 (#155) is DENIED as moot.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED this 29th day of March, 2013.



10
11 LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26